

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Joseph Maquade Chesley,
4 Plaintiff,
5 vs.
6 City of Mesquite, et al.,
7 Defendants.

2:25-cv-00218-APG-MDC

ORDER DENYING STIPULATION

8 **IT IS ORDERED** that the *Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order* (ECF No. 34) is
9 **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. The proposed stipulation and scheduling order is not in
10 compliance with LR 26-1. The standard 180-discovery under LR 26-1(b)(1) is measured from the date a
11 defendant first appears. Here, a defendant first appeared on February 4, 2025 (ECF No. 8). If the parties
12 desire a period longer than 180 days from February 4, 2025, they may have leave to file an amended
13 stipulation showing good cause for the longer period or supporting why the 180 days should be
14 measured from the April 4, 2025, date that defendant filed its motion to dismiss. The parties are
15 requested to please file an amended stipulation by **May 30, 2025**.

16
17 DATED this 27th day of May 2025.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20
21 Hon. Maximiliano D. Couvillier III
22 United States Magistrate Judge
23
24
25

